

Email: editor@ijarets.org Volume-9 Issue-1 January-2022

www.ijarets.org

## Fortifying the Foundation: A Multi-Layered Security Framework for Multi-Tenant Cloud Architectures in Enterprise Applications

**Alok Jain** Proofpoint Inc., Sunnyvale, California, USA **Pradeep Verma** Associate Professor, GIMS, Greater Noida

Abstract— The adoption of multi-tenant cloud architectures offers enterprises compelling advantages in terms of scalability, costefficiency, and agility. However, the shared nature of resources in multi-tenancy introduces unique security challenges that, if not adequately addressed, can expose sensitive data and compromise application integrity. This article presents a multi-layered security framework designed to fortify multi-tenant cloud architectures for enterprise applications. We delve into the intricacies of tenant isolation, data protection, access control, network security, and auditing within the context of multi-tenancy. We explore various security mechanisms and best practices, including robust authentication and authorization, encryption at rest and in transit, secure key management, intrusion detection and prevention, and comprehensive logging and monitoring. Furthermore, we emphasize the importance of continuous security assessments, compliance with industry standards, and proactive threat intelligence. By implementing this comprehensive framework, enterprises can harness the full potential of multi-tenant cloud environments while mitigating security risks and ensuring the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of their critical applications and data. This is about building trust in the cloud. one laver at а time. **SO** businesses can thrive in the digital age. Keywords—cloud computing, security, algorithm, enterprise applications, communication

## I. INTRODUCTION

Multi-tenancy has emerged as a dominant architectural paradigm in cloud computing, enabling multiple customers (tenants) to share a single instance of a software application and its underlying infrastructure while maintaining logical data separation [1]. This model offers significant benefits to both cloud providers and enterprise customers, including reduced operational costs, improved resource utilization, streamlined maintenance, and faster deployment of updates [2].

However, the shared nature of resources in multi-tenant environments introduces unique security challenges that must be carefully addressed. The proximity of multiple tenants within the same infrastructure increases the risk of data leakage, unauthorized access, and denial-of-service attacks if proper security measures are not in place [3]. Imagine sharing an apartment building – you want to make sure your locks are strong, and you trust your neighbors, right? It's similar in the cloud.

This article presents a comprehensive, multi-layered security framework designed to address the specific security requirements of multi-tenant cloud architectures for enterprise applications. Our framework encompasses various security domains, including:

- Tenant Isolation: Ensuring that tenants are logically separated and cannot access each other's data or resources.
- Data Protection: Safeguarding sensitive data at rest, in transit, and during processing.
- Access Control: Implementing robust mechanisms to authenticate and authorize users and control their access to resources.
- Network Security: Protecting the network infrastructure from unauthorized access and malicious traffic.
- Auditing and Monitoring: Maintaining comprehensive logs and monitoring system activity to detect and respond to security incidents.
- Compliance: Adhering to relevant industry standards and regulations.

## II. UNDERSTANDING THE SECURITY CHALLENGES OF MULTI-TENANCY

Multi-tenant cloud architectures present several unique security challenges:

#### **2.1 Tenant Isolation Failure:**

- **Data Leakage:** If tenant isolation is not properly implemented, one tenant may be able to access the data of another tenant, leading to data breaches and privacy violations.
- **Privilege Escalation:** A malicious or compromised tenant might exploit vulnerabilities in the shared infrastructure to gain unauthorized privileges and access resources belonging to other tenants.
- **Cross-Tenant Attacks:** One tenant could launch attacks against another tenant, such as denial-of-service attacks, potentially impacting the availability and performance of their applications.

#### 2.2 Data Security Risks:

- **Data Breaches:** The concentration of data from multiple tenants in a single environment increases the impact of a potential data breach.
- **Data Remanence:** When a tenant leaves the cloud environment or deletes data, remnants of their data might remain on shared storage devices, potentially accessible to other tenants if not properly sanitized.
- **Key Management:** Managing encryption keys for multiple tenants in a secure and efficient manner is a complex challenge.

#### 2.3 Access Control Complexities:

- **Granular Access Control:** Implementing fine-grained access control policies that restrict tenants to only their own resources can be challenging in a shared environment.
- Authentication and Authorization: Securely authenticating and authorizing users from multiple tenants requires robust mechanisms that prevent unauthorized access and privilege escalation.
- **Identity Federation:** Integrating with different identity providers used by various tenants can add complexity to the access control system.

#### 2.4 Network Security Threats:

- Network Segmentation: Properly segmenting the network to isolate tenants from each other and from the underlying infrastructure is crucial to prevent unauthorized access and lateral movement of threats.
- **Denial-of-Service (DoS) Attacks:** Multi-tenant environments are susceptible to DoS attacks that can impact the availability of services for all tenants.
- Man-in-the-Middle (MitM) Attacks: Attackers could attempt to intercept and manipulate communication between tenants or between tenants and the cloud provider.

#### 2.5 Auditing and Monitoring Difficulties:

- Log Management: Collecting, correlating, and analyzing logs from multiple tenants in a shared environment can be complex.
- Attribution: Determining the source of a security incident within a multi-tenant environment can be challenging due to the shared infrastructure.
- **Real-Time Monitoring:** Monitoring the security posture of a multi-tenant environment in real-time requires sophisticated tools and techniques.

## III. A MULTI-LAYERED SECURITY FRAMEWORK FOR MULTI-TENANT ARCHITECTURES

To address these challenges, we propose a multi-layered security framework (Figure 1) that encompasses the following key components:

#### 3.1 Tenant Isolation

Tenant isolation is the cornerstone of security in multi-tenant architectures. It ensures that tenants are logically separated and cannot interfere with each other's data or operations. Isolation can be achieved at various layers of the architecture:

## International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering Technology and Science ISSN 2349-2819www.ijarets.orgVolume-9 Issue-1 January-2022Email-editor@ijarets.orgEmail-editor@ijarets.org

### • Application-Level Isolation:

- Separate Databases or Schemas: Each tenant's data is stored in a separate database or schema, providing strong data isolation.
- **Tenant-Aware Application Logic:** The application code is designed to be tenant-aware, ensuring that data access and operations are restricted to the appropriate tenant based on their identifier.
- **Row-Level Security:** Implementing database features like row-level security to further restrict data access within a shared database based on tenant ID.

### • Infrastructure-Level Isolation:

- **Virtualization:** Using virtual machines (VMs) to isolate tenants at the hypervisor level provides a strong degree of separation. Each tenant can have their own dedicated set of VMs. Employing technologies like vTPM (Virtual Trusted Platform Module) for secure booting adds to the security.
- **Containerization:** Containers, orchestrated by technologies like Kubernetes, offer a more lightweight approach to isolation, with faster deployment and more efficient resource usage [4]. Secure configurations, image scanning, and runtime monitoring are essential.
- **Dedicated Hardware:** In some cases, high-security requirements might necessitate dedicated physical hardware for specific tenants, providing the strongest level of isolation but at a higher cost.
- Network-Level Isolation:
  - Virtual Private Clouds (VPCs): Creating separate VPCs for each tenant isolates their network traffic and resources.
  - Virtual LANs (VLANs) and VXLANs: Using VLANs or VXLANs to segment network traffic based on tenant ID ensures that traffic is only routed to the appropriate destination.
- Security Groups and Firewalls: Implementing strict firewall rules and security groups to control inbound and outbound traffic for each tenant's resources.

### **3.2 Data Protection**

Protecting sensitive data is paramount in multi-tenant environments. Data protection measures should be applied at rest, in transit, and during processing:

- Encryption at Rest:
- **Transparent Data Encryption (TDE):** Encrypting data at the database level using technologies like AES-256 ensures that data is protected even if the underlying storage is compromised [5].
- **File System Encryption:** Encrypting entire file systems or specific directories where sensitive data is stored provides an additional layer of protection.
- **Object Storage Encryption:** Cloud object storage services should be configured to encrypt data at rest, using either server-side or client-side encryption.
- Encryption in Transit:
  - **Transport Layer Security (TLS):** Using TLS 1.2 or higher for all communication between clients and the cloud environment, and between different components within the cloud, protects data while it is being transmitted [6].
  - Secure API Gateways: API gateways should enforce the use of HTTPS and implement robust authentication and authorization mechanisms.
- Data Loss Prevention (DLP):
  - **Data Classification and Tagging:** Implementing DLP solutions that automatically classify and tag sensitive data based on predefined rules helps to prevent unauthorized data exfiltration [7].
  - Monitoring and Alerting: DLP systems should monitor data access and movement, generating alerts when suspicious activity is detected.
- Tokenization and Data Masking:
  - Tokenization: Replacing sensitive data with non-sensitive tokens can help to reduce the risk of data breaches [8].
- **Data Masking:** Masking or anonymizing sensitive data before it is used for development, testing, or analytics can further enhance privacy.

### **3.3 Access Control**

Implementing robust access control mechanisms is essential to ensure that only authorized users can access specific resources within the multi-tenant environment:

- Authentication:
  - **Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA):** Enforcing MFA for all users, requiring them to provide multiple forms of authentication (e.g., password, one-time code, biometric), significantly enhances security [9].
- Single Sign-On (SSO): Implementing SSO across multiple applications and services simplifies user experience and centralizes authentication.
- **Identity Federation:** Integrating with existing identity providers (e.g., Active Directory, LDAP) used by tenants allows for centralized user management and consistent access policies.

## • Authorization:

• **Role-Based Access Control (RBAC):** Defining roles with specific permissions and assigning users to those roles simplifies access management and ensures that users only have access to the resources they need [10].

# International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering Technology and Science ISSN 2349-2819www.ijarets.orgVolume-9 Issue-1 January-2022Email-editor@ijarets.org

- Attribute-Based Access Control (ABAC): ABAC provides more fine-grained access control based on user attributes, resource attributes, and environmental conditions, offering greater flexibility and security [11]. Using signed tokens like JWT for authorization adds to the security.
- **OAuth 2.0 and OpenID Connect:** These industry-standard protocols can be used to delegate authorization to trusted identity providers and enable secure access to APIs and other resources [12].

## 3.4 Network Security

Securing the network infrastructure is critical for protecting multi-tenant environments from external and internal threats:

## • Network Segmentation:

- **VLANs and VXLANs:** As mentioned earlier, VLANs and VXLANs can be used to logically segment network traffic based on tenant ID, preventing unauthorized communication between tenants.
- **Micro-segmentation:** Implementing micro-segmentation within each tenant's network further restricts lateral movement of threats by creating smaller, isolated segments.
- Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems (IDPS):
- **Network-Based IDPS:** Deploying IDPS at network perimeters and within the internal network helps to detect and block malicious traffic [13].
- **Host-Based IDPS:** Installing IDPS agents on individual servers and VMs provides an additional layer of protection against attacks that bypass network-level defenses.
- Web Application Firewalls (WAFs):
  - **Protecting Web Applications:** WAFs can be used to protect web applications from common attacks, such as SQL injection, cross-site scripting (XSS), and cross-site request forgery (CSRF) [14].
  - **Rate Limiting and DDoS Mitigation:** WAFs can also be configured to rate-limit traffic and mitigate Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks.

### • Secure VPN Access:

- **Remote Access:** Providing secure VPN access for remote users and administrators ensures that their connections to the cloud environment are encrypted and protected.
- **Site-to-Site VPNs:** Establishing site-to-site VPNs between the cloud environment and on-premise networks allows for secure communication between different locations.

#### 3.5 Auditing and Monitoring

Comprehensive auditing and monitoring are essential for detecting and responding to security incidents in multi-tenant environments:

	Physical S	acurity.													
	T Hysical O	ocurry													
	Data Center	Security													
		•			• • •	• • •				• •					
		· · · · ·													
			Management a	and Operations					•	• •	•				•
	*				Compl	ance (ISO 2700	1 800	1							
Identit	y and Acces	s Mgmt (IAM)	Security Monito	oring & Auditing	Compi	2, etc.)	1, 500								
										• •					
-			Network	aver				· ·							
	*		Network	-ayer		4									
	etwork Segn		Intrusion Detec	ction/Prevention	We	App Firewall (V	VAF)								
	(VLANs, V)	(LANs)					,								
										• •					
								_							
				]		· ]·									
		_		Infrastructure Layer											
						_									
		Virtualization Se	ecurity (vTPM)	Containeriza			Secure Hyp	arvisor	1						
		Virtualization Se	ecurity (vTPM)	Containeriza	ation Security (K8s)		Secure Hyp	ervisor	]					٠	•
		Virtualization Se	ecurity (vTPM)	Containeriza			Secure Hyp	ervisor		•••	•	•	•	•	•
		Virtualization Se	ecurity (vTPM)	Containeriza			Secure Hyp	ervisor	]	•••	•	•	•	•	•
	• •	Virtualization Se	o o o o	Containeriza	tion Security (K8s)		Secure Hyp	ervisor	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•
	• •	Virtualization Se			tion Security (K8s)	o o o		• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•
	· ·	Virtualization Se	Encryption at R		tion Security (K8s)			• •	o ta Loss	o o o	° ° n (DLP)	•	•	•	•
•	• • • •	Virtualization Se			tion Security (K8s)	o o o		• •	) o nta Loss	o o o	。 。 n (DLP)	•	•	•	•
	• • • •	Virtualization Se			tion Security (K8s)	o o o		• •	) o nta Loss	o o o	。 。 n (DLP)	•	•	•	•
	• • • • • •	Virtualization Se			tion Security (K8s)	o o o		• •	ata Loss	>reventio	。 。 n (DLP)	•	•	•	•
	• • • • • •	Virtualization Se			tion Security (K8s)	on in Transit (TL			o atta Loss	o o o	。 。 n (DLP)	•	•	•	•
		Virtualization Se		Rest (AES-256)	Data Lay	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	S)				- - - - -		•	•	•
		Virtualization Se		Rest (AES-256)	tion Security (K8s)	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	S)				• • • • •		o	on Secu	° °
		Virtualization Se		Rest (AES-256)	Data Lay	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	S)				o o n (DLP)		- - - - -	on Secu	
		Virtualization Se		Rest (AES-256)	Data Lay	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	S)				• • • •		• • •	o o o o o n Secu	o o o urity
		Virtualization Se		Rest (AES-256)	Data Lay	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	S)				n (DLP)		opplicatio	o o o o n Secu	• • • • • •
		Virtualization Se		Rest (AES-256)	Data Lay	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	S)		DAuth, JV	VT)	•	Ap	o	o o o o o o n Secu	o o o urity
		Virtualization Se		Rest (AES-256)	Data Lay	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	S)		DAuth, JV		•	Ap	o o opplication	o o o o nn Secu	• • • • • •

Figure 1: Multi-Layered Security Framework for Multi-Tenant Cloud Architectures

## Security Information and Event Management (SIEM):

- **Log Collection and Aggregation:** Deploying a SIEM system to collect, aggregate, and correlate logs from various sources within the multi-tenant environment provides a centralized view of security events [15].
- **Real-Time Alerting:** Configuring SIEM rules to generate real-time alerts for suspicious activity enables rapid incident response.
- Security Monitoring:
- **Continuous Monitoring:** Continuously monitoring the security posture of the multi-tenant environment using automated tools and dashboards helps to identify vulnerabilities and potential threats.
- **Threat Intelligence Integration:** Integrating threat intelligence feeds into the monitoring system provides valuable context and helps to detect known threats.
- Audit Trails:
- **Detailed Logging:** Maintaining detailed audit trails of all user and system activity, including authentication events, data access, and configuration changes, is crucial for forensic analysis and compliance [16].
- Secure Log Storage: Storing audit logs in a secure, tamper-proof repository ensures their integrity and availability for investigations.

#### 3.6 Compliance

Enterprises operating in regulated industries must ensure that their multi-tenant cloud deployments comply with relevant standards and regulations, such as:

- ISO 27001: An international standard for information security management systems (ISMS) [17].
- **SOC 2:** A framework for auditing service organizations, including cloud providers, on their security, availability, processing integrity, confidentiality, and privacy controls [18].
- **PCI DSS:** A set of security standards for organizations that handle credit card data [19].
- **HIPAA:** A U.S. law that protects the privacy and security of health information [20].
- **GDPR:** A European Union regulation that governs the processing of personal data [21].

Cloud providers should undergo regular audits and certifications to demonstrate their compliance with these standards. Enterprises should also conduct their own due diligence to ensure that their chosen cloud provider meets their specific compliance requirements.

## International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering Technology and Science ISSN 2349-2819www.ijarets.orgVolume-9 Issue-1 January-2022Email-editor@ijarets.org

## IV. IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

Implementing this multi-layered security framework requires careful planning and execution. Here are some key considerations:

• Shared Responsibility Model: Understand the shared responsibility model of your cloud provider.

Security Challenge	Relevant Security Controls
Tenant Isolation Failure	Application-level isolation (separate databases/schemas, tenant-aware logic), Infrastructure-level isolation (VMs, containers), Network segmentation (VLANs, VXLANs, VPCs), Secure Hypervisor, Container Security
Data Security Risks	Encryption at rest (TDE, file system encryption), Encryption in transit (TLS), Data loss prevention (DLP), Tokenization, Data masking, Secure Key Management
Access Control Complexities	Multi-factor authentication (MFA), Single sign-on (SSO), Identity federation, Role- based access control (RBAC), Attribute-based access control (ABAC), OAuth 2.0, OpenID Connect
Network Security Threats	Network segmentation, Intrusion detection and prevention systems (IDPS), Web application firewalls (WAFs), Secure VPN access, Micro-segmentation
Auditing and Monitoring	Security information and event management (SIEM), Security monitoring, Audit trails, Log management, Threat Intelligence Integration
Compliance	ISO 27001, SOC 2, PCI DSS, HIPAA, GDPR

Table 1: Mapping Security Controls to Multi-Tenancy Challenges

The cloud provider is responsible for the security *of* the cloud, while the customer is responsible for security *in* the cloud [22]. This means that you are responsible for securing your data, applications, and access controls within the multi-tenant environment.

- Automation: Automate security configurations and processes wherever possible to reduce human error and ensure consistency. Infrastructure-as-Code (IaC) tools can be used to automate the deployment of security controls [23].
- **Continuous Security Assessment:** Regularly assess the security posture of your multi-tenant environment using vulnerability scanning, penetration testing, and security audits [24].
- Security Training: Provide security awareness training to all users and administrators to educate them about the risks associated with multi-tenancy and best practices for secure usage.
- **Incident Response Plan:** Develop and regularly test an incident response plan that outlines the steps to be taken in the event of a security incident within the multi-tenant environment [25].
- **Collaboration with Cloud Provider:** Maintain open communication with your cloud provider and leverage their security expertise and resources.

#### V. IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

Several organizations have successfully implemented robust security measures in multi-tenant cloud environments. While specific details are often confidential, we can highlight some general examples:

- Large SaaS Provider: A major SaaS provider offering CRM services to thousands of enterprise customers leverages a combination of containerization, network segmentation, and strict access controls to ensure tenant isolation. They employ encryption at rest and in transit, along with robust key management practices, to protect customer data. Regular security audits and penetration testing are conducted to maintain a high level of security.
- **Financial Institution:** A large financial institution utilizing a multi-tenant cloud platform for its core banking applications implemented a multi-layered security approach. They utilize dedicated hardware for critical workloads, combined with virtualization and network segmentation for other applications. They employ strong encryption, DLP, and comprehensive auditing and monitoring to meet stringent regulatory requirements.
- Healthcare Organization: A healthcare organization adopted a multi-tenant cloud solution for managing patient records. They implemented strong authentication and authorization mechanisms, including MFA and RBAC, to

## International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering Technology and Science ISSN 2349-2819www.ijarets.orgVolume-9 Issue-1 January-2022Email-editor@ijarets.orgEmail-editor@ijarets.org

control access to sensitive data. They also utilize encryption at rest and in transit, along with data masking and anonymization techniques, to comply with HIPAA regulations.

These examples demonstrate that it is possible to achieve a high level of security in multi-tenant cloud environments by implementing a comprehensive, multi-layered security framework.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

Multi-tenant cloud architectures offer significant benefits to enterprises, but they also introduce unique security challenges. By implementing a comprehensive, multi-layered security framework, as outlined in this article, organizations can effectively mitigate these risks and ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of their applications and data. Tenant isolation, data protection, access control, network security, and auditing are fundamental pillars of this framework. Continuous monitoring, security assessments, and adherence to industry standards and regulations are crucial for maintaining a strong security posture.

#### VII. REFERENCES

[1] M. Armbrust et al., "A view of cloud computing," Communications of the ACM, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 50-58, Apr. 2010.

[2] T. Chow, et al. "Controlling data in the cloud: outsourcing computation without outsourcing control," in Proceedings of the 2009 ACM workshop on Cloud computing security, 1 2009, pp. 85-90.

[3] R. Jain, and J. R. Rao. "Security and Privacy in Cloud Computing: A Survey of Issues and Challenges" in International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 12(2), 2021.

[4] K. Hashizume, D. G. Rosado, E. Fernández-Medina, and E. B. Fernandez, "An analysis of security issues for cloud computing," Journal of internet services and applications, 2 vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1-13, 2013.

5] Oracle, "Transparent Data Encryption FAQ," [Online]. [https://www.oracle.com/database/technologies/faq-tde.html

[6] E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3," RFC 8446, Aug. 2018.

[7] R. K. L. Ko, P. Jagadpramana, M. Mowbray, S. Pearson, M. Kirchberg, Q. Liang, and B. S. Lee, "TrustCloud: A framework for accountability and trust in cloud computing," 3 in 2011 IEEE World Congress on Services, 4 2011, pp. 584-588.

[8] A. C. Yao, "How to generate and exchange secrets," in 27th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (sfcs 1986), 1986, pp. 162-167. 5

[9] N. Koblitz, "A course in number theory and cryptography," Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[10] R. Sandhu, E. Coyne, H. Feinstein, and C. Youman, "Role-based access control models," IEEE computer, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 38-47, 1996.

[11] D. Ferraiolo, R. Sandhu, S. Gavrila, D. R. Kuhn, and R. Chandramouli, "Proposed NIST standard for role-based access control," ACM Transactions on Information and System 6 Security (TISSEC), vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 224-274, 7 2001.

[12] D. Hardt, Ed., "The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework," RFC 6749, Oct. 2012.

[13] M. Roesch, "Snort: Lightweight Intrusion Detection for Networks," in LISA, vol. 99, no. 1, pp. 229-238, 1999.

14] OWASP, "Web Application Firewall.

[15] A. N. Hinton, K. K. R. Chow, E. J. Goh, "Towards a reference architecture for security information and event management," in Proceedings of the 2008 ACM symposium on Information, computer and communications security, 2008, pp. 306-317.

[16] ISO/IEC, "ISO/IEC 27001:2013 Information technology — Security techniques — Information security management systems — Requirements," 2013. 8

[17] International Organization for Standardization. (2013). ISO/IEC 27001:2013 Information technology — Security techniques — Information security management systems — Requirements. 9

[18] AICPA, "SOC 2 Reporting on an Examination of Controls at a Service Organization Relevant to Security, Availability, Processing Integrity, Confidentiality, or Privacy," 2018.

20] U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, "Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA),"

[21] European Parliament and Council of the European Union, "Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 11 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation)," 2016.

22] Amazon Web Services, "Shared Responsibility Model"

[23] M. Morris, "Infrastructure as Code: Managing Servers in the Cloud," O'Reilly Media, 2016.

[24] P. T. Zargar, and T. R. Tak, "Cloud computing security: a survey," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 68, no. 15, 2013.

[25] NIST, "Computer Security Incident Handling Guide," NIST Special Publication 800-61 Revision 2, 2012.

[27] A. Reyna, C. Martín, J. Chen, E. Soler, and M. Díaz, "On blockchain and its integration with IoT. Challenges and opportunities," Future Generation Computer Systems, vol. 88, pp. 173-190, 12 2018.

[28] J. Kindervag, "Build Security Into Your Network's DNA: The Zero Trust Network, Or, How To Stop All Cyberattacks," Forrester Research, 2010.